

## SUFFRAGISTS DEMAND SULZER PROVE ALIBI

There's a Fine Ado Because  
Governor-Elect Did Not March  
in Saturday's Parade.

### SUSPECT HE WAS IN TOWN

Moreover, Mrs. Blatch Has  
Theory That History Does  
Not Jibe with That Veto  
Story of His.

Oh, Mr. Sulzer, Governor-elect of the  
State of New York, where were you last  
Saturday night when the suffragists  
marched down Fifth avenue, and why  
were you where you were?

There are so many varying reports  
about your movements that night, Mr.  
Sulzer, and one would like to know the  
truth!

Are those suffragists correct who insist  
that you had to go to Washington on  
congressional business, and that that was  
why you couldn't keep your promise to  
carry a "votes for women" lantern "down  
the avenue"?

Or, are the other suffragists right who  
say that you just slipped over to Orange,  
N. J., because it was cheaper and easier  
than going to Washington?

Or, does the truth lie with that account  
which avers that a sick mother called  
you away just as you remembered that  
"Tramp, tramp, tramp, the girls are  
marching"?

And if that is where you were, can the  
newspaper item be true which says that  
at 8 o'clock Saturday night you tore your-  
self away from that mother's side at your  
home at No. 155 Second avenue, to  
listen to an evening serenade done by the  
Farragut Squad?

The suffragists who believe that item  
are arguing that if you could get to Sec-  
ond avenue in time to hear an evening  
serenade you could get to Fifth avenue in  
time to march in the parade.

### One Who Doesn't Care.

Mrs. James Lees Laidlaw, who boomed  
that parade, stands by Mr. Sulzer. Or, at  
least she says it doesn't matter where he  
was that night. The important thing is  
that he advertised his allegiance to the  
cause by announcing that he would  
march. Mrs. Laidlaw is always sweet.

"He told my husband and Mr. R. C.  
Beadle, of the Men's League for Equal  
Suffrage, that honestly and truly the  
most important business kept him from  
marching," she told The Tribune reporter  
yesterday. "I think Mr. Sulzer feels that  
his suffrage reputation can stand by itself.  
He didn't have to march to bolster it up."

"That is what he said himself. He said,  
'Why, everybody knows that I believe in  
woman suffrage. It is only that urgent  
business calls me out of New York, other-  
wise I should be delighted to march  
Saturday night. But what is the difference,  
when my belief in the cause is so  
well known?'"

But that is not the way Mrs. Ida Husted  
Harper sees it. Mrs. Harper, ever since  
she read that Mr. Sulzer had promised to  
march, has in her mind's eye seen the  
figure of Charles Murphy hovering over  
the Governor-elect and whispering:  
"William, don't you do it."

So clearly did Mrs. Harper see this that  
when she heard that Mr. Sulzer had said  
that Congress matters called him to the  
national capital the night of the parade  
she and other suffragists who shared her  
dark suspicions set sleuths on Mr. Sulzer's  
trail, and these sleuths reported that  
they saw him leave his home and go over  
to Orange, N. J.

### "Of Course Murphy Won't."

"The Democrats are preparing to throw  
us down," Mrs. Harper said yesterday.  
"Of course, Murphy won't let Mr. Sulzer  
stand for suffrage. Well, the Democrats  
will get some publicity on this. Oh, we'll  
expose them! We've been lied to and  
foiled and cheated, but we'll be fooled no  
longer."

But Miss Caroline Reilly, press chair-  
man of the National Woman Suffrage As-  
sociation, thought that Mrs. Harper was  
precisely.

"It," she said, "Mrs. Harper's a Re-  
publican. Don't listen to her. Maybe Mr.  
Sulzer's mother was awfully sick. Why  
shouldn't she be?"

Mrs. Harriet Stanton Blatch had nothing  
to say about the goings and comings of  
Mr. Sulzer. She is going to make some  
remarks about him at her Women's Po-  
litical Union meeting at Carnegie Hall  
next Wednesday, but she won't say what  
they are. Mrs. Blatch and Mrs. John  
Winters Brannan went to see Mr. Sulzer  
Friday to ask him if he wouldn't speak  
at that meeting, but he said he was so  
sorry; he had to go out of town in an  
hour, and wouldn't be back until after  
Wednesday.

Mrs. Blatch begged him for a letter or  
telegram, but he said it would be so much  
nicer if she would speak for him herself.  
So she will not for him, though, but  
about him. Rumor has it that her re-  
marks will be quite spicy. Maybe she will  
criticize his bad memory of suffrage history.

"I was slightly puzzled," she said yester-  
day, "because Mr. Sulzer told me on  
Friday that he put a suffrage bill through  
the Legislature for my mother, Mr. Eliza-  
beth Cad Stanton, and it was vetoed by  
the Governor."

### Arbitrament of History.

"I think I know my suffrage history,"  
said Mrs. Blatch, "and I recall no inci-  
dent of that kind."

Miss Alice Carpenter, Moosette, says  
that if the people had only elected the  
Progressive ticket the suffragists would  
have had a Governor-elect marching with  
them on Saturday night.

"In marked contrast with Mr. Sulzer's  
course were Mr. Strauss's and Mr. Roose-  
velt's speeches at Madison Square Gar-  
den," she said. "They were not afraid to  
declare for woman suffrage."

"Of course, Mr. Murphy won't let Mr.  
Sulzer do anything for suffrage, though I  
don't doubt his convictions tend that way."

### MISS ADDAMS WON'T SERVE

Will Not Head National Suff-  
rage Association.

Chicago, Nov. 11.—Miss Jane Addams, of  
Hull House, has set at rest a rumor that  
she was to become president of the Na-  
tional Suffrage Association by declaring  
that she intends to remain at her present  
duties. Miss M. Carey Thomas, president  
of Bryn Mawr College, is in the city, and  
a report gained circulation that she had  
come to tender Miss Addams the presi-  
dency of the suffragist organization.

"I shall continue at my work in Chi-  
cago," said Miss Addams. "I never have  
contemplated leaving my work here for  
other fields. I think it would be ill ad-

vised for the national association to  
change leadership at such a time. Miss  
Anna Shaw, the president, has great abili-  
ty and should be retained in office."

### WILL WELCOME MRS. CATT

Mrs. Sage Expects Mayor as  
Her Guest at Carnegie Hall.

Mrs. Russell Sage has taken two boxes  
for the suffragists' welcome home to  
Mrs. Carrie Chapman Catt on Tuesday  
evening, November 19, at Carnegie Hall.  
The one Mrs. Sage will occupy herself  
and the other is for the use of her  
guests, Mayor Gaynor and other city  
officials.

Letters of invitation were sent in Mrs.  
Sage's name yesterday to the city  
fathers.

The evening will be made picturesque  
by the presence of women in native costume  
from all the lands which Mrs. Catt  
has visited in her travels round the  
world to boom suffrage. The foreign sis-  
ters will make two-minute speeches.

There will be greetings from many  
New York suffrage associations and  
from the "women of 1776" who marched  
in the torchlight procession.

Mrs. James Lees Laidlaw will make  
the welcome home speech for the Women's  
Suffrage party.

Boxes for the evening have been taken  
by Mrs. William Tod Helmuth, Mrs.  
Frederick Nathan, Miss Florence Guernsey,  
Mrs. O. H. P. Belmont, Mrs. Ed-  
ward Lauterbach, Mrs. H. G. Cannon,  
Mrs. Ruth Litt, Mrs. Wendell T. Bush,  
Mrs. H. M. Day, Mrs. W. G. Morton,  
Mrs. George Place, Mrs. Richard M.  
Burt, George Foster Peabody, president  
of the Men's League for Woman Suffrage;  
the New York State Woman Suffrage  
Association, the Interborough As-  
sociation of Women Teachers, Mrs. V.  
Chubb, Mrs. William Laird, the Woman's  
Political Union, Mrs. Herbert Carpenter,  
Mrs. Hannah R. Babcock and Mrs.  
Charles Tiffany.

### SUFFRAGISTS EXTOL POLICE

Parade Handled Beautifully,  
They Tell Waldo.

Laymen enjoyed the pumpkin lanterns  
but suffragists enjoyed the police. It was  
almost too good to be true, the attitude  
of the finest at Saturday's parade, and it  
was the chief topic of conversation at  
suffrage tea tables yesterday. Then R. C.  
Beadle, that energetic young man who is  
secretary of the Men's League and  
never does things by halves, decided that  
it was not enough to praise the police  
behind their backs. They should be thanked  
to their faces. So he wrote a letter to  
Commissioner Waldo, which read as fol-  
lows:

My Dear Sir: May I express to you and  
through you to the officers and men of  
the department our appreciation of the  
splendid police arrangements for the pa-  
rade on Saturday night? Your handling  
of this situation left nothing to be de-  
sired. The men along the line were not  
only efficient in the discharge of their  
duties, but were courteous and consid-  
erate at all times, especially the squad of  
mounted men that followed the parade  
and prevented any confusion and dis-  
order after the column had passed.

The police arrangements on Saturday  
night left absolutely nothing to be desired,  
and I can assure you that the Men's  
League greatly appreciates the work of  
your department on that evening.

R. C. BEADLE, Executive Secretary.

### COLD VACATES LEASE

Appellate Division Upholds the  
Right of Tenant to Move Out.

Here is a chance for everybody to cheer  
—that is, everybody except landlords. If  
your candidate was defeated last Tues-  
day, read the details that follow and  
cheer up on this Tuesday.

Also, reconsider your harsh views on  
the recall of judges, for three of their  
number have done their judicial best to  
warm your heart and your whole body.

The justices, whose names will be writ-  
ten high on the roll of honor of the New York  
tenancy, are Lehman, Page and Hotch-  
kiss, sitting this month in the Appellate  
Term of the Supreme Court.

Their timely decision is that unless a  
landlord gives a tenant sufficient steam  
to keep his apartment warm the tenant  
may legally terminate the lease with the  
property owner, move out and not stand  
liable for the payment of rent for the  
rest of the term of the lease.

The case that brought forth this de-  
lightful bit of information—and advice—  
was that of Mrs. Mary A. Oliverter to  
recover from a former tenant \$150 rent  
for March and April, 1912, and \$25 due as  
part of the February rent.

Mr. Oliverter won her suit in the Mu-  
nicipal Court, but the tenant appealed to  
the Appellate Term. There the tenant  
told a heartrending story about freezing  
in his apartment at a time of the year  
when the lease stipulated the landlord  
should keep it heated.

The decision of the court in reversing  
the judgment and dismissing the suit of  
the landlord, with costs, said, in part:

"It was the duty of the landlord to  
maintain proper heating and hot water  
appliances. The only conclusion that can  
properly be drawn from the evidence is  
that the steam heating apparatus or plant  
was utterly inadequate, notwithstanding  
constant effort at repairs, to supply the  
heat which the landlord had covenanted  
to furnish."

"He cannot avoid the obligation as-  
sumed by him under the lease by proving  
that the apparatus was worthless and  
that he made ineffectual efforts to render  
it fit for service."

### SNOBS A CHURCH CURSE

So Says Dr. Coffin at Presby-  
terian Mission Demonstration.

All the foreign Presbyterian missions  
were represented in an audience of a  
thousand or more persons gathered in  
the Fifth Avenue Presbyterian Church,  
at 54th street, last night. Between ad-  
dresses, foreign anthems were sung and  
prayers made in their national tongues  
by the various mission delegations.

The Rev. Dr. Henry Spence Coffin, vice-  
president of the Church Extension Com-  
mittee, declared that Presbyterian Chris-  
tianity was suffering from a curse of  
which it would be difficult to rid itself—  
the curse of exclusiveness.

"Class snobbishness has to be gotten  
out of our Church," he said. "That is  
our curse."

From the north gallery a chorus of  
Italian Ascension Boy Scouts sang  
hymns, while later from the south gal-  
lery fifty or more Bohemian children  
sang two of their most ancient national  
hymns. Below them was a large gath-  
ering of negroes.

The Rev. Edwin W. Work, Moderator,  
presided. The Rev. Charles L. Thompson,  
secretary of the Board of Home  
Missions, addressed the congregation  
on the subject of "The Four-Square Ap-  
pel of Home Missions," and a prayer  
for the country was offered by the Rev.  
John H. Jowett, pastor of the Fifth Ave-  
nue Church.

## SEES HARDER LIFE WHEN WOMAN VOTES

"Anti" Predicts Infinitely Poorer Existence—  
Scheme Called Undemocratic Because  
Imposing Minority's Will.

By Martha McCulloch-Williams.

As a spectacle the suffrage parade was  
thrilling. So I make no doubt, was the  
burning of Rome. Wonder if the modern  
Neros in petticoats realized that they  
were burning up not a capital, but a  
civilization. Since both were man-made,  
they perhaps feel that both deserve de-  
struction. There I venture to disagree  
with them. There I venture, further, to  
think the mass of womankind are on my  
side.

Hence the first and feeblest count in  
my indictment of woman suffrage. It is  
undemocratic, in that it seeks to impose  
upon the majority the will of the minor-  
ity. Women who loathe the thought of  
voting may have it laid upon them as a  
burden—be forced to go to the polls to  
save themselves from spoliation or unfair  
rule. Next, it seems to me the teachings  
of experience are all set at naught in  
the contention that the ballot in feminine  
hands will right all manner of wrongs.

Men, voting this many a year, have some-  
how not managed to vote themselves into  
paradise. Contrariwise, a good few of  
them still have to work, and work hard,  
and since it is well known that Mrs. Poyser  
voiced immortal truth in saying of  
women: "God Almighty made 'em to  
match the men," how are they going to  
do better than men?

Those things, however, lie on the sur-  
face. The heart of my opposition to suffrage  
is a conviction that it will tend, if it  
ever prevails, to make life infinitely  
harder, infinitely poorer for men and  
women alike. If Sidney Smith's fa-  
mous saying of Grote and his wife,  
"Charming people—he is so ladylike,"

## WILEY PLEASAS 'WOMEN'

Pure Food Expert Will Speak at  
Big Jubilee Meeting.

Wilson and Sulzer Won't  
Dr. Shaw and Others Will At-  
tend to Salute New Flag—Mrs.  
Pankhurst's Message.

Woman has one friend, after all. Just  
when the sturdy souls were beginning to  
falter and the cheery hearts to grow a  
bit cynical over man's fickleness comes  
the rescue Harvey W. Wiley.

What matters it now that Governor  
Wilson cut the suffragists dead when they  
asked him to endorse their "Celebration  
of Citizenship" meeting at Carnegie  
Hall to-morrow night?

What though their quondam friend,  
"Bill" Sulzer changed the subject when  
Mrs. Harriet Stanton Blatch and Mrs.  
John Winters Brannan pressed their invita-  
tion?

What though, when Mrs. Blatch asked  
him to send a letter or telegram to be  
read at the meeting, he answered blantly  
that he used to admire Mrs. Blatch's  
mother, Elizabeth Cad Stanton?

It matters not now, "Pure Food Wiley"  
will save the ship. He sent Mrs. Harriet  
Stanton Blatch a telegram yesterday say-  
ing that he just longed to "join the chorus  
of victory."

The thrilling moment of the big jubilee  
meeting will be when the suffragists rise  
and salute their new flag. This will be  
hung across the front of the stage, and  
the stars will be fitted with electric  
lights. At a given moment the  
light of the ten stars will blaze out, and  
the suffragists will jump to their feet,  
waving tiny red, white and blue silk  
handkerchiefs and singing the "Marsel-  
laise."

Miss Jane Addams wired that she would  
be unable to attend the meeting, but Mrs.  
Blatch has secured the Rev. Caroline  
Bartlett Crane, of Michigan, and Dr.  
Maud Parker, of Washington, to speak  
for the states where women vote, and  
Dr. Anna Howard Shaw will make her  
first big speech since her return from her  
tour of the West.

Other suffrage orators are on the pro-  
gramme, too. The meeting will be abso-  
lutely free to all—though that isn't prom-  
ising that there won't be a collection.  
From 7:45 to 8:15 o'clock there will be an  
organ concert by Miss Mary Adelaide  
Liscom, followed by the entrance of the  
Liscom, followed by flags a-flying and jubilee  
songs a-toting.

The new ten-starred suffrage buttons  
will be "out" for the celebration and will  
be sold by the Political Union's corps of  
pretty girl ushers.

This afternoon the suffragettes will dash  
up the avenue with a coach and four to  
advertise the meeting. The coach will be  
draped with suffrage colors, and the gal-  
lant steeds will wear blankets blazoned  
with the names of the four new suffrage  
states, Oregon, Arizona, Kansas and  
Michigan.

In and upon the coach will be zealous  
suffragettes, casting "celebration dodgers"  
into the crowds.

Among those who will join in this ad-  
venture are Mrs. John Winters Brannan,  
Miss Eleanor Brannan, who, by the way,  
has ceased flirting with the Progressive  
cause and come back to plain suffrage,

Mrs. Calvin Tomkins and little Catherine  
Tomkins, aged eight years, who is just as  
ardent a suffragette as anybody else, and  
has promised to give five cents a month  
out of her own money to "help mamma  
get the vote."

As if the headquarters of the Woman's  
Political Union weren't stirred up enough  
with the preparations for this coach-  
tour and housecleaning after the torch-  
light parade, right in the midst of it all  
yesterday arrived a telegram from no less  
a person than Mrs. Emmeline Pankhurst,  
of London. It was a message of con-  
gratulation for the recent suffrage vic-  
tories and read:

British militants send congratulations to  
suffrage leaders in successful states. Victo-  
ry only postponed in Wisconsin.

### WOMEN IN POLITICAL CLUB

Two Among Directors of New Brook-  
lyn Organization.

Albany, Nov. 11.—Mary E. Dreier and  
Helen Van Ingen, of Brooklyn, are among  
the directors of the first Assembly Dis-  
trict Progressive Club of Kings County,  
Incorporated, which was incorporated to-  
day.

The principal office is in Brooklyn, and  
the other directors include Mrs. F. L. Carr  
and Mrs. E. E. Carr, of Brooklyn, and  
Ward W. Pickard, of Brooklyn.

## PARR LOANED \$30,000

Testimony of Dealings with  
Helen Dwell Jenkins Filed.

### SOLD GEMS SHE PLEDGED

Realty Firm Holds Judgment  
Against Woman and Wants  
Receiver Named.

The testimony of Richard Parr, Deputy  
Surveyor of the Port of New York, in the  
suit brought by Bing & Bing, real estate  
brokers, against Mrs. Helen Dwell Jen-  
kins, was filed in the City Court yester-  
day. Parr obtained the information upon  
which the government has collected  
\$18,000 from Nathan Allen, a Wisconsin  
leather manufacturer, for smuggling Jew-  
els from Europe for Mrs. Jenkins.

The application for the examination was  
made by counsel for Bing & Bing in a  
proceeding for the appointment of a re-  
ceiver for the property of Mrs. Jenkins  
on a judgment for \$1,500 which the real  
estate firm holds against her for six months'  
rent in the Wellmore, at Broadway and  
77th street. Mrs. Jenkins testified that  
she had no property and that most of her  
personal effects were turned over to  
Deputy Surveyor Parr for loans he made  
to her. Thereupon Justice Schmuck ap-  
pointed Philip J. Dunn receiver for her  
property. Mrs. Jenkins has brought suits  
aggregating nearly \$300,000, and the re-  
ceiver will try to acquire an interest in the  
suits. The suits are brought against Allen  
and the Mooney & Boland detective agen-  
cies.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-  
erty. He said that she had no prop-  
erty and that she had no property. He  
said that she had no property and that  
she had no property. He said that she  
had no property and that she had no prop-  
erty.

Parr is the Treasury Department official  
who unearthed the sugar frauds and re-  
ceived a reward of \$100,000. He testified  
that he had known Mrs. Jenkins about  
eighteen months and that she had no prop-